arXiv:1405.5745v2 [gr-qc] 24 Jun 2014

Phantom black holes and critical phenomena

Mustapha Azreg-Aïnou,[1,*] Glauber T. Marques,[2,†] and Manuel E. Rodrigues[3,‡]

[1] *Başkent University, Engineering Faculty, Bağlıca Campus, Ankara, Turkey*
[2] *Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia ICIBE - LASIC, Av. Presidente Tancredo Neves 2501 CEP 66077-901 - Belém/PA, Brazil*
[3] *Faculdade de Ciências Exatas e Tecnologia, Universidade Federal do Pará - Campus Universitário de Abaetetuba, CEP 68440-000, Abaetetuba, Pará, Brazil*

We consider the two classes cosh and sinh of normal and phantom black holes of Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory. The thermodynamics of these holes is characterized by heat capacities that may have both signs depending on the parameters of the theory. Leaving aside the normal Reissner-Nordström black hole, it is shown that only some phantom black holes of both classes exhibit critical phenomena. The two classes share a nonextremality, but special, critical point where the transition is continuous and the heat capacity, at constant charge, changes sign with an infinite discontinuity. This point yields a classification scheme for critical points. It is concluded that the two unstable and stable phases coexist on one side of the criticality state and disappear on the other side, that is, there is no configuration where only one phase exists. The sinh class has an extremality critical point where the entropy diverges. The transition from extremality to nonextremality with the charge held constant is accompanied by a loss of mass and an increase in the temperature. A special case of this transition is when the hole is isolated (microcanonical ensemble), it will evolve by emission of energy, which results in a decrease of its mass, to the final state of minimum mass and vanishing heat capacity. The Ehrenfest scheme of classification is inaccurate in this case but the generalized one due to Hilfer leads to conclude that the transition is of order less than unity. Fluctuations near criticality are also investigated.

I. INTRODUCTION

Scaling laws are important tools in modern engineering and science. As is well known, some mathematical theorems and useful physical formulas were derived employing the methods of scaling theory or dimensional analysis [1]. In thermodynamics, scaling laws were discovered within theories of critical phenomena, which started to emerge due to observations of extremely large fluctuations at criticality in 1869 [2].

In 1933 Ehrenfest introduced the first classification of phase transitions in classical thermodynamics based on finite jumps in the derivatives of the free energy, followed in 1938 by that of Landau and Lifshitz based on symmetry considerations [3]. According to Ehrenfest classification, the order of the transition is the positive integer p such that all derivatives, of a given potential, of order $\leq p-1$ are continuous while the p^{th} (partial) derivatives have finite jump discontinuities. Both classifications were later found to be inaccurate methods of classifying phase transitions due to the existence of infinite jump discontinuities (divergencies) in the heat capacity of some transitions and the occurrence of real (noninteger) orders of transition [4, 5].

In the 1970s, a modern, rather simplified, scheme of classification was adopted by which the transitions are binary, either of first order, where the system absorbs some amount of heat at constant temperature, or continuous, that is, connected with a continuous change of symmetry.

Other classifications have continued to emerge [4], concluding that transitions (1) with *real* $p > 1$ are continuous, (2) with $p < 1$, called anequilibrium transitions [5], correspond to nonequilibrium thermodynamics and are characterized by an infinite entropy at the critical point (CP), and (3) those with $p = 1$, first order transitions, are marginal.

Phase transitions and critical phenomena in black hole thermodynamics continue to occupy a large part of the black hole literature [6]-[31]. Most of these investigations focused on anti-de Sitter (AdS) black holes [22]-[31] due, on the one hand, to the existing similarities in their phase transitions with those of both the magnetic and the van der Waals liquid-gas systems [32]. On the other hand, the extension of applicability of the principal of AdS/CFT correspondence [33], to phenomena described by fluid dynamics and other systems and to provide simple holographic dual descriptions to almost all CFT phenomena (including their phase transitions) [34]-[38], has motivated such investigations.

Failure to observe a van der Waals liquid-gas like phase transition in some AdS black holes, in that the corresponding PV-diagram does not show a point of inflection at the CP, was the case in [26]. Very recently, a similar failure has been noticed for black holes with torsion [27]. These new types of phase transitions, which make the case in this work too, will lead us to introduce a precise classification of (black hole) CP's, which is applicable to all types of transitions.

The presence of cosmological phantom fields contin-

*Electronic address: azreg@baskent.edu.tr
†Electronic address: gtadaiesky@hotmail.com
‡Electronic address: esialg@gmail.com

ues to receive supports from both collected observational data [39] and theoretical models [40]. All these programs have pointed out an accelerated expansion of the universe, dominated by an exotic fluid of negative pressure. Furthermore, there are evidences suggesting the exotic fluid could be of phantom nature [41, 42]. Since then, an interest in phantom fields has grown and resulted in many phantom black hole solutions [43]-[46]. In recent years, many issues pertaining to phantom black holes, such as thermodynamic stability [47] and light paths [48, 49] have been dealt with. It is within the spirit of the abovementioned motivations that we investigate the nature of the phase transitions and the CP's of some of the phantom black holes derived in [45].

In this work, attention is given to the so-called cosh and sinh phantom black holes of Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory (EMD) [45]. The action governing the dynamics of these solutions depends on two discreet numbers $\eta_1 = \pm 1$ and $\eta_2 = \pm 1$, which determine the nature (normal or phantom) of the couplings of the dilaton and electromagnetic fields, respectively, and on a continuous parameter λ, which is the real dilaton-Maxwell coupling constant. Among the conclusions we reach in this work, the existence of a one nonextremality CP for the cosh solutions and two, nonextremality and extremality, CP's for the sinh ones, with the explicit dependence of the CP's on $(\lambda, \eta_1, \eta_2)$. As to the critical exponents of the various thermodynamic variables, they do not depend on $(\lambda, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ if the CP is a nonextremality one and they do depend on $(\lambda, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ in the case of the extremality CP. Various diagrams, corresponding to a PV-diagram (or to magnetic field-magnetization diagram), do not exhibit points of inflection at criticality. This reveals a new type of transitions for these black holes, which were encountered for other black hole solutions, as mentioned above, but never distinguished from known transitions; We will achieve that on introducing a classification of CP's.

In Ref. [16], the thermodynamics of only normal (nonphantom) sinh black holes has been investigated. As we shall see below, normal sinh black holes have two horizons the interior of which is singular for all $\lambda \neq 0$ (in the notation of [16], $a^2 = \lambda^2$). The case $\lambda = 0$ is Reissner-Nordström black hole which has a regular inner horizon. In this work we will exclude from our analysis all sinh black holes having a singular inner horizon for at extremality, where the two horizons coincide and both become singular, the thermodynamics becomes subtle.

In Sect. II we introduce the action governing the dynamics of EMD theory, the metric of the cosh and sinh black holes, and set the conditions for not having a singular inner horizon. In Sect. III, unless otherwise specified, we restrict ourselves to canonical ensembles (fixed-charge ensembles), review and derive the thermodynamics of these black holes. Sect. IV is devoted to the critical behavior of the different thermodynamic functions and variables of these black holes.

In Sect. V we distinguish two types of CP's, one nonextremality CP shared by both cosh and sinh black holes and the other, called extremaliy CP, emerges near extremality conditions of the sinh solutions. We introduce a classification of CP's which distinguishes nth order (hyper)surface CP's and nth order discreet ones. For the nonextremality CP, the classification due to Ehrenfest yields a second order phase transition at it. For the extremaliy CP, Ehrenfest classification fails since in this case the entropy diverges at criticality (the derivatives of all orders of the free energy diverge!). However, recalling the classification due to Hilfer [4, 5], we argue that the transition at the extremaliy CP is anequilibrium of order less than unity: $p < 1$. The critical exponents for the nonextremality CP obey the usual scaling laws and those related to the extremaliy CP obey similar scaling laws derived from the usual ones by mere translations.

In Sect. VI we restrict ourselves to canonical and microcanonical ensembles. For the nonextremality CP, it is shown that the moment of the mass fluctuation diverges near criticality if the black hole is in contact with a heat bath with which it exchanges heat only. In contrast, for the extremality CP, it is shown that the relative root mean square dispersions vanish as criticality is approached, which yields no breakdown in thermodynamics. We conclude in VII.

II. NORMAL PHANTOM EMD BLACK HOLES

The action for EMD theory with phantom Maxwell and/or dilaton field reads

$$S = -\int \mathrm{d}^4x\sqrt{-g}\ \left[R - 2\eta_1 g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi + \eta_2 \mathrm{e}^{2\lambda\varphi}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\right], \tag{1}$$

where λ is the real dilaton-Maxwell coupling constant, and $\eta_1 = \pm 1$, $\eta_2 = \pm 1$. Normal EMD corresponds to $\eta_2 = \eta_1 = +1$, while phantom couplings of the dilaton field φ or/and Maxwell field $F = \mathrm{d}A$ are obtained for $\eta_1 = -1$ or/and $\eta_2 = -1$.

The metrics of the so-called cosh and sinh solutions, derived in [45], take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{d}s^2 = f_+ f_-^{\gamma}\mathrm{d}t^2 - f_+^{-1}f_-^{-\gamma}\mathrm{d}r^2 - r^2 f_-^{1-\gamma}\mathrm{d}\Omega^2 \\
&F = -\frac{Q}{r^2}\,\mathrm{d}r\wedge\mathrm{d}t\,, \quad \mathrm{e}^{-2\lambda\varphi} = f_-^{1-\gamma} \qquad (2)\\
&f_\pm = 1 - \frac{r_\pm}{r}\,, \quad \gamma = \frac{1-\eta_1\lambda^2}{1+\eta_1\lambda^2} \\
&\frac{\eta_2}{1+\gamma} < 0 \text{ for cosh}\,, \quad \frac{\eta_2}{1+\gamma} > 0 \text{ for sinh} \qquad (3)\\
&\gamma \in (-\infty, -1)\cup[1, +\infty) \text{ if } \eta_1 = -1 \\
&\gamma \in (-1, +1] \text{ if } \eta_1 = +1 \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the parameter γ following the notation of [47, 48]. These are asymptotically flat spherically symmetric black holes of mass M, electric charge Q and event horizon $r_+ > 0$ related by [45]

$$2M = r_+ + \gamma r_-\,, \quad 2Q^2 = \eta_2(1+\gamma)r_+r_- \tag{5}$$

Since Q is real, r_- and $\eta_2(1+\gamma)$ must have the same sign. Using this fact in (3), we have $r_- < 0$ for the cosh solution and $r_- > 0$ for the sinh one. The case $\gamma = 1$ ($\lambda = 0$) corresponds to normal Reissner-Nordström black hole if $\eta_2 = +1$ or to phantom Reissner-Nordström black hole if $\eta_2 = -1$ (η_1 is undefined in this case for there is no scalar field).

As we shall see in the following two sections, the thermodynamics and critical phenomena of the sinh solutions are more involved than those of the cosh solutions. For the latter solutions $r_+ > 0$ and $r_- < 0$, so that r_+ never approaches r_-. Hence, the cosh solutions do not show an extremality limit and their temperature is always positive [Eq. (8)]. Under which conditions do the sinh solutions exhibit extremality? The curvature scalar of the metric (2) near r_- (r_+ is a regular horizon and all the scalar invariants are finite there) is

$$R = \frac{(\gamma^2-1)r_-^2(r-r_+)}{2r^{3+\gamma}(r-r_-)^{2-\gamma}} \tag{6}$$

which is singular if $\gamma < 2$. As the thermodynamics of singular horizons is not defined, extremality in this case ($r_+ \to r_-$) is a subtle issue. We will drop the case where R diverges at r_- and consider the more restricted domain[1]

$$\gamma = 1 \ \text{ or } \ \gamma \geq 2 \quad \text{(for sinh)} \tag{7}$$

yielding $\eta_2 = 1$ for sinh solutions. As we mentioned earlier, η_1 is arbitrary for $\gamma = 1$ as there is no scalar field. For $\gamma \geq 2$, $\eta_1 = -1$ by(4). Thus, except the known case $\gamma = 1$ corresponding to normal Reissner-Nordström black hole, only phantom EManti-D sinh black holes have regular inner horizons.

III. THERMODYNAMICS

From now on we consider only positive charges: $Q > 0$. More on the thermodynamics of these black holes is found in [47]. In this work, unless otherwise specified, we restrict ourselves to canonical ensembles (fixed-charge ensembles), where the black hole is in contact with a heat bath with which it exchanges heat only, and we focus on the critical behavior of these black holes.

The temperature of EMD black holes does not depend on the way the scalar field φ is coupled to gravity (minimal or conformal coupling) and its mathematical expression is still given by Hawking formula

$$T = \frac{\partial_r(f_+ f_-^\gamma)/\partial r}{4\pi}\Big|_{r_+} = \frac{(r_+-r_-)^\gamma}{4\pi {r_+}^{1+\gamma}}. \tag{8}$$

The entropy does generally depend on how the scalar field couples to gravity. In the case of the action (1), since the scalar field is minimally coupled to gravity, the expression of the entropy is not altered [52]-[57] and is still given by Hawking formula

$$4S = \text{area of the horizon} = 4\pi {r_+}^{1+\gamma}(r_+-r_-)^{1-\gamma}. \tag{9}$$

In these expressions of T and S the only occurring parameters are γ and r_- (r_+ is taken as a variable), but the latter depend via (2) to (5) on the other parameters of the theory. We thus expect different thermodynamical critical phenomena for the four spices of the EMD theory: normal EMD ($\eta_1 = 1$, $\eta_2 = 1$), Eanti-MD ($\eta_1 = 1$, $\eta_2 = -1$), EManti-D ($\eta_1 = -1$, $\eta_2 = 1$), and Eanti-Manti-D ($\eta_1 = -1$, $\eta_2 = -1$).

Using the second equation in (5), we first express r_- in terms of (r_+, Q) then substitute into (9), the first equation in (5), and (8) to derive S, M, and T in terms of (r_+, Q)

$$M = \frac{r_+}{2} + \frac{\eta_2\gamma Q^2}{(1+\gamma)r_+} \tag{10}$$

$$S = \frac{\pi {r_+}^{2\gamma}}{(1+\gamma)^{1-\gamma}}[(1+\gamma){r_+}^2 - 2\eta_2 Q^2]^{1-\gamma} \tag{11}$$

$$T = \frac{[(1+\gamma){r_+}^2 - 2\eta_2 Q^2]^\gamma}{4\pi(1+\gamma)^\gamma {r_+}^{1+2\gamma}}. \tag{12}$$

Let

$$A \equiv Q/r_+ \tag{13}$$

denotes the value of the electric potential A_0 on the horizon. We derive the first law of thermodynamics for these black holes, $\mathrm{d}M = (\partial M(S,Q)/\partial S)_Q \mathrm{d}S + (\partial M(S,Q)/\partial Q)_S \mathrm{d}Q$, on evaluating the two derivatives $(\partial M(S,Q)/\partial S)_Q$ and $(\partial M(S,Q)/\partial Q)_S$ by

$$\Big(\frac{\partial M(S,Q)}{\partial S}\Big)_Q = \frac{(\partial M(r_+,Q)/\partial r_+)_Q}{(\partial S(r_+,Q)/\partial r_+)_Q} \tag{14}$$

$$\Big(\frac{\partial M(S,Q)}{\partial Q}\Big)_S = -\frac{(\partial S(r_+,Q)/\partial Q)_{r_+}}{(\partial S(r_+,Q)/\partial r_+)_Q}\Big(\frac{\partial M(r_+,Q)}{\partial r_+}\Big)_Q + \Big(\frac{\partial M(r_+,Q)}{\partial Q}\Big)_{r_+}. \tag{15}$$

Using (10) and (11) along with (12) and the definition of A [Eq. (13)], it is straightforward to show that the right-hand sides (r.h.s) in (14) and (15) are T and $\eta_2 A$, respectively. We have thus established [47]

$$\mathrm{d}M = T\mathrm{d}S + \eta_2 A\mathrm{d}Q. \tag{16}$$

The equation of state (EOS) is derived on substituting $r_- = 2\eta_2 Q^2/[(1+\gamma)r_+]$ and $r_+ = Q/A$ into (8)

$$4\pi(1+\gamma)^\gamma TQ = A(1+\gamma-2\eta_2 A^2)^\gamma. \tag{17}$$

For subsequent use, we re-write the EOS as

$$TQ = \frac{A(1+\gamma-2\eta_2 A^2)^\gamma}{4\pi(1+\gamma)^\gamma} \equiv F(A). \tag{18}$$

[1] In the case of extremal black holes $r_+ \equiv r_-$, besides the interval (7), R is finite in the limit $r \to r_+ \equiv r_-$ in the interval $1 < \gamma < 2$ too; however we won't include this interval in our discussion for extreme black holes are not stable. Moreover, extreme black holes may have nonthermal spectrum or ill-defined temperature [50, 51].



FIG. 1: The two plots are sketched for $\gamma = -2$, corresponding to the cosh solution of EManti-D [Eq. (22)] yielding $A_c = 1/\sqrt{6} \simeq 0.408$. We have chosen $Q_c = 1$ leading to $T_c = (1/\pi)\sqrt{3^3/2^{13}} \simeq 0.018$ and $S_c = 128\pi/9 \simeq 44.68$. (a): Plot of $C_Q(A)$ at constant $Q = Q_c$. The region $A < A_c$ is certainly unstable. The EManti-D black hole solution undergoes a phase transition or change of degree of stability at $A = \sqrt{\eta_2(1+\gamma)/(2\gamma)} = 1/2$. (b): Plot showing in the r_+-Q plane the lines L_1 and L_2 [Eq. (28)] where C_Q is zero (continuous line) or diverges (dashed lines), respectively. There is no line that represents extremality and the black hole region is the whole first quadrant. As one moves on a line of constant charge from the left to the right, r_+ increases and A decreases. The stable region is sandwiched between the continuous and the dashed lines.



FIG. 2: The plots are sketched for $\gamma = 2$, corresponding to the sinh solution of EManti-D [Eq. (25)] yielding $A_c = \sqrt{3/10} \simeq 0.548$. We have chosen $Q_c = Q_{\rm ext} = 1$ leading to $A_{\rm ext} \equiv Q_{\rm ext}/r_{\rm ext} = \sqrt{3/2} \simeq 1.225$ and $T_c = 2\sqrt{6/5}/(25\pi) \simeq 0.028$. (a): Plots of $C_Q(A)$ (continuous line), $M(A)$ (dashed line), and $T(A)$ (dotted line) all at constant $Q = Q_c$. The mass has its minimum value at the point where $C_Q = 0$ [see (19), (29)] and the temperature has its extrema at $(A_c, A_{\rm ext})$ [see (19), (29)]. The black hole region corresponds to $A < A_{\rm ext}$ so one ignores the branch of the plot for $A > A_{\rm ext}$. The region $A < A_c$ is certainly unstable. The EManti-D black hole solution undergoes a phase transition or change of degree of stability at $A = \sqrt{\eta_2(1+\gamma)/(2\gamma)} = \sqrt{3}/2$. There are two phase transitions one at A_c (from a massive black hole to a lower mass one) and the other at $A_{\rm ext}$ (from extremality to nonextremality). (b): Plot showing in the r_+-Q plane the lines where C_Q is zero [continuous line (L_1)] or diverges [dashed line (L_2) and dotted line). The black hole region is on the right of the dotted line, which represents extremality. The stable region is sandwiched between the continuous and the dashed lines.

IV. CRITICAL BEHAVIOR

By analogy with classical thermodynamics, the critical behaviors of thermodynamical systems occur at points (CP's) where, say, the heat capacities and generalized susceptibilities diverge [2, 32]. According to modern classification, this corresponds to a second order or continuous phase transition, where only the second order partial derivatives of the free energy have either a jump discontinuities or infinite ones but its first order partial derivatives are continuous. The heat capacity at constant charge, $C_Q \equiv T(\partial S/\partial T)_Q$, which, using (11) and (12), reduces to

$$C_Q = T\frac{(\partial S(r_+,Q)/\partial r_+)_Q}{(\partial T(r_+,Q)/\partial r_+)_Q} = -2\pi {r_+}^{2\gamma}(1+\gamma)^{\gamma-1}\times \frac{[(1+\gamma){r_+}^2 - 2\eta_2\gamma Q^2][(1+\gamma){r_+}^2 - 2\eta_2 Q^2]^{1-\gamma}}{[(1+\gamma){r_+}^2 - 2\eta_2(1+2\gamma)Q^2]}. \quad (19)$$

For all γ, C_Q diverges at the CP

$${r_c}^2 = \frac{2\eta_2 {Q_c}^2(1+2\gamma)}{1+\gamma} \quad (20)$$

where Q_c is the critical charge. If A_c denotes the critical electric potential, combining $A_c = Q_c/r_c$ with (20) we obtain

$${A_c}^2 = \frac{\eta_2(1+\gamma)}{2(1+2\gamma)}. \quad (21)$$

The requirement ${r_c}^2 > 0$ sets some constraints on γ: From (3) we see that $1 + 2\gamma < 0$ ($\gamma < -1/2$) for the cosh solutions and that $1 + 2\gamma > 0$ ($\gamma > -1/2$) for the sinh ones, which is already satisfied by (7). Now, by the



FIG. 3: The main plot (a) and the plot (b) are sketched for $\gamma = -2$, corresponding to the cosh solution of EManti-D [Eq. (22)] yielding $A_c = 1/\sqrt{6} \simeq 0.408$. We have chosen $Q_c = 1$ leading to $T_c = (1/\pi)\sqrt{3^3/2^{13}} \simeq 0.018$ and $S_c = 128\pi/9 \simeq 44.68$. The mini plot (a) and the plot (c) are sketched for $\gamma = 2$, corresponding to the sinh solution of EManti-D [Eq. (25)] yielding $A_c = \sqrt{3/10} \simeq 0.548$. We have chosen $Q_c = Q_{\rm ext} = 1$ leading to $A_{\rm ext} \equiv Q_{\rm ext}/r_{\rm ext} = \sqrt{3/2} \simeq 1.225$, $T_c = 2\sqrt{6/5}/(25\pi) \simeq 0.028$ as in Fig. 2 (a) and $S_c = 25\pi/6 \simeq 13.09$. (a): Plots of $Q(A)$ at constant $T = T_c$ for the cosh (main plot) and sinh (mini plot) solutions of EManti-D. The region $A < A_c$ is certainly unstable. For the plot of sinh, as $T_c \to T_{\rm ext} = 0$, the crest moves to infinity and the curve splits into the two vertical lines $A = 0$ and $A = A_{\rm ext}$, which are solutions of $F(A) = 0$. On either line, the charge is undefined. (b): Parametric plot of $T(S)$ at constant $Q = Q_c$ for the cosh solution of EManti-D [Eq. (22)]. No point of inflection at the point (S_c, T_c) nor a point of inflection at the point (T_c, S_c) for the $S(T)$ plot. The upper branch represents the unstable phase. (c): Parametric plot of $T(S)$ at constant $Q = Q_c$ for the sinh solution of EManti-D [Eq. (25)].



FIG. 4: The plots are sketched for $\gamma = 2$, corresponding to the sinh solution of EManti-D [Eq. (25)] yielding $A_c = \sqrt{3/10} \simeq 0.548$. We have chosen $Q_c = Q_{\rm ext} = 1$ leading to $A_{\rm ext} \equiv Q_{\rm ext}/r_{\rm ext} = \sqrt{3/2} \simeq 1.225$ and $T_c = 2\sqrt{6/5}/(25\pi) \simeq 0.028$. (a): Plots of the free energy $F_{\rm e}(A)$ (dashed line) and $T(A)$ (dotted line) at constant $Q = Q_c$ using different vertical scales. The two functions reach their extreme values $F_{\rm e\,min} = Q_c/(2A_c) = \sqrt{5/6} \simeq 0.913$ [Eqs. (30), (21)] and $T_{\rm max} = T_c$, respectively at $A = A_c$. (b): Parametric plot of $F_{\rm e}(T)$ at constant $Q = Q_c$. The upper branch represents the unstable phase. The plots of this figure complete those of Fig. 2.

other requirement, $r_c \geq r_-(= 2\eta_2 {Q_c}^2/[(1+\gamma)r_c])$, which is always satisfied for the cosh solutions, we must have $\gamma \geq 0$ for the sinh solutions and this is again satisfied by (7). Finally, combining these conclusions with (3), (4), and (7), we obtain the following conditions for having critical phenomena at r_c

$$\begin{aligned}
&\cosh : \gamma < -1/2 \\
&\gamma \in (-\infty, -1) \to \eta_1 = -1, \eta_2 = +1 \qquad (22)\\
&\gamma \in (-1, -1/2) \to \eta_1 = +1, \eta_2 = -1; \qquad (23)\\
&\sinh : \gamma = 1 \ \text{ or } \ \gamma \geq 2 \\
&\gamma = 1 \to \eta_1 = \pm 1, \eta_2 = +1 \qquad (24)\\
&\gamma \in [2, \infty) \to \eta_1 = -1, \eta_2 = +1. \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

The cases $\gamma > -1/2$ for cosh and $\gamma < 1$ or $1 < \gamma < 2$ for sinh are thus not subject to critical phenomena but may undergo phase transitions due to changes in the sign of C_Q. As a special case, we see that the black holes of the Eanti-Manti-D theory do not undergo any critical behavior in that their heat capacity never diverges.

The sinh solutions have another CP for $\gamma \geq 2$, at extremality, where C_Q diverges too but T vanishes. This is

denoted by $r_{\rm ext}$ and is given by

$$ {r_{\rm ext}}^2 = 2{Q_{\rm ext}}^2/(1+\gamma), \quad (T_{\rm ext} \equiv 0,\ Q_{\rm ext}\text{: any}). \quad (26) $$

In this case we will only consider the upper limit $r_+ \to {r_{\rm ext}}^+$ in the discussion of the critical behavior; in contrast with the point r_c where both upper $r_+ \to {r_c}^+$ and lower $r_+ \to {r_c}^-$ limits will be considered. Combining $A_{\rm ext} = Q_{\rm ext}/r_{\rm ext}$ with (26) we obtain

$$ {A_{\rm ext}}^2 = (1+\gamma)/2 \quad (\gamma \geq 2) \quad (27) $$

The physical case corresponds to $r_+ \geq r_-$ and thus the factor $[(1+\gamma){r_+}^2 - 2\eta_2 Q^2]/(1+\gamma) \propto r_+ - r_-$, which appears in (11), (12), and (19), is always positive or zero so that the sign of C_Q depends only on the signs of the other factors in (19). In the r_+-Q plane, C_Q is positive between the two lines L_1 and L_2 defined by:

$$ L_1 :\ Q = \sqrt{\Big|\frac{1+\gamma}{2\gamma}\Big|}\, r_+,\ L_2 :\ Q = \sqrt{\Big|\frac{1+\gamma}{2(1+2\gamma)}\Big|}\, r_+. \quad (28) $$

Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 depict plots of C_Q alone or of (C_Q, M, T) versus A at constant Q and plots of Q versus r_+ (the lines L_1 and L_2) for the cosh solution of EManti-D with $\gamma = -2$ and the sinh solution of EManti-D with $\gamma = 2$, respectively. For the sinh solution there is a line in the r_+-Q plane that represents extremality and the black hole region is on the right of that line, while in the case of the cosh solution the black hole region is the whole first quadrant in the r_+-Q plane. More other comments are provided in the captions of these figures and in Sect. V.

Three other formulas needed for Fig. 2, Fig. 4 and subsequent discussions are the derivatives of the mass, temperature, and free energy $F_{\rm e} \equiv M - TS$ with respect to A at constant Q:

$$ \begin{aligned} 2Q(1+\gamma)(\partial M/\partial A)_Q &= -[(1+\gamma){r_+}^2 - 2\eta_2\gamma Q^2] \\ Q(\partial T/\partial A)_Q &= \frac{[(1+\gamma){r_+}^2 - 2\eta_2 Q^2]^{\gamma-1}}{4\pi(1+\gamma)^\gamma {r_+}^{2\gamma}}\times \\ &\qquad [(1+\gamma){r_+}^2 - 2\eta_2(1+2\gamma)Q^2] \\ 4Q(1+\gamma)(\partial F_{\rm e}/\partial A)_Q &= -(1+\gamma){r_+}^2 + 2\eta_2(1+2\gamma)Q^2. \end{aligned} \quad (29) $$

Thus, as far as we keep the charge constant, the mass has its extremum at the point where $C_Q = 0$ and the temperature and free energy have their extrema at the points where C_Q diverges with $T_{\rm max} = T_c$, which is the critical temperature corresponding to $r = r_c$, and

$$ F_{\rm e\,min} = \frac{Q_c}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{\eta_2(1+2\gamma)}{1+\gamma}} = \frac{Q_c}{2A_c}. \quad (30) $$

This is shown explicitly in Fig. 4 (a) where the plots $F_{\rm e}(A)$ and $T(A)$ at constant Q have been sketched using different vertical scales.

V. CRITICAL PHENOMENA

In order to determine the properties of the transition at the CP for fluid-gas systems [32] it has become costume to fix T and sketch the pressure P in terms of the volume V; similar treatment is performed for magnetic systems [32]. In black hole thermodynamics, one proceeds the same way keeping T constant and sketching the potential versus the charge or conversely [22] according to convenience and practicality [or, otherwise, sketching a given function of the charge $H(Q)$ versus another function of the potential $G(A)$]. In the following we will consider the Q-A diagram at constant T and treat separably the cases of the CP's (A_c, Q_c, T_c), which exists for both cosh and sinh solutions, and $(A_{\rm ext}, Q_{\rm ext}, T_{\rm ext})$, which exists for sinh solutions only.

The main and mini plots of Fig. 3 (a) are sketches of $Q(A)$ at constant temperature for the phantom cosh solution of EManti-D [Eq. (22)] and the phantom sinh solution of EManti-D [Eq. (25)], respectively. The phantom cosh solution of Eanti-MD [Eq. (23)] has a plot $Q(A)$ [not shown in Fig. 3 (a)] similar to that of the cosh solution of EManti-D [Eq. (22)].

A. cosh and sinh black holes: The nonextremality CP (A_c, Q_c, T_c)

Substituting (20) into (12) or (21) into the EOS (18) we determine the product $T_c Q_c$ by

$$ T_c Q_c = \frac{2^{(2\gamma-5)/2}}{\pi}\left(\frac{\gamma}{1+2\gamma}\right)^\gamma \sqrt{\Big|\frac{1+\gamma}{1+2\gamma}\Big|}. \quad (31) $$

By analogy with fluid-gas or magnetic systems, we determine, for T constant, the first and second derivatives $(\partial Q/\partial A)_T$ and $(\partial^2 Q/\partial A^2)_T$ on differentiating the EOS (18) then evaluate them at the CP using (21). Using the fact that

$$ F'(A)|_c = 0,\ F''(A)|_c = \frac{-\eta_2 A_c (2\gamma)^{\gamma-1}}{\pi(1+\gamma)(1+2\gamma)^{\gamma-2}} \quad (32) $$

[where $F'(A)|_c = F'(A_c)$, $F''(A)|_c = F''(A_c)$] we obtain

$$ \Big(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial A}\Big)_T\Big|_c = 0,\ T_c\Big(\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial A^2}\Big)_T\Big|_c = F''(A_c). \quad (33) $$

We see that $(\partial^2 Q/\partial A^2)_T|_c$ is never zero. With only two equations, the EOS (17) and the first equation in (33), we are thus unable to determine all the three thermodynamic variables (A, Q, T) at the CP in terms of the parameters of the problem (γ, η_1, η_2): Only A_c is known as function of (γ, η_1, η_2). This is a new type of critical phenomena not encountered in classical thermodynamics [2, 32] nor is it, at least, in some AdS black holes [22]-[31]. In some cases treated in [26], as is the case of figure 6 (a) of [26], where $\Lambda = \Lambda_{c1} = -2.5$, one encounters the same type of CP's. This type of transitions have

been encountered in [27] too where critical behaviors of 3D black holes with torsion are dealt with.

In the case at hands, this means that for fixed (γ, η_1, η_2), instead of having a point of inflection in the Q-A diagram at the point (A_c, Q_c) where no coexistence should occur for $Q > Q_c$, rather we have an extremum value which is equal to Q_c itself, as shown in Fig. 3 (a), and (a set of CP's making up) a hyperbola in the T-Q plane[2] [Eq. (31)] on which lie the points (T_c, Q_c) [or equivalently a line L_2 in the r_+-Q plane given by (28)]. By continuously lowering the value of T_c at will, the new value of Q_c increases, so we can observe coexistence at any value Q of the charge.

Definition. *First, because only the first derivative in* (33) *is zero at the CP, we call this type of points first order CP's. Second, because the set of points (T_c, Q_c) make up a one-dimensional space in the phase space, we would rather call these points first order linear CP's in contrast with the case where one has one (or a set of discreet) CP(s) as in [26]. This notions generalize to cases where (1) all derivatives up to n are zero: $(\partial^m Q/\partial A^m)_T|_c = 0$ $(m \leq n)$ and (2a) the CP's make up a (hyper)surface in the phase space: In that case we would have n^{th} order (hyper)surface CP's. Or (2b) the CP's do not make up any (hyper)surface, they would be called n^{th} order discreet CP's.*

This definition allows one to classify the CP's independently on the modern, or something else, classification of phase transitions. We will provide an example in Sect. V B.

The definition is certainly independent on ensembles. For fixed-charge ensembles where the charge of the black hole is held constant $Q = \text{const}$, we may, if we want, take $Q_c \equiv Q$, in this case all the other critical values $(A_c, T_c, S_c, \ldots)$ are uniquely expressed in terms of (γ, η_1, η_2) using the relevant equations.

Similarly, a T-S diagram does not show any point of inflection, as in Fig. 3 (b,c), but a turning point with a horizontal tangent line at (S_c, T_c), contrary to AdS black holes which have a point of inflection in the T-S diagram [24].

Moreover, it is easy to show that, if $H \equiv H(Q)$ and $G \equiv G(A)$ are any functions of Q and A, respectively, it is not possible to have at the same time $\mathrm{d}H/\mathrm{d}G|_c = 0$ and $\mathrm{d}^2H/\mathrm{d}G^2|_c = 0$ with the requirement that H, G, and their first and second order derivatives be finite at the CP. In fact, if we assume that H, G, H', G', and H'' are finite at the CP, where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the appropriate variable (Q or A), using the first equation in (33) we find

$$\Big(\frac{\partial H}{\partial G}\Big)_T\Big|_c = 0, \quad \Big(\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial G^2}\Big)_T\Big|_c = \Big(\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial A^2}\Big)_T\Big|_c \times \frac{1}{G'}\Big|_c \quad (34)$$

so that by the second equation in (33) and the requirement that $G'|_c$ be finite, we see that $\mathrm{d}^2H/\mathrm{d}G^2|_c \neq 0$. This means that any thermodynamic variable transformation of the form $Q \to H(Q)$ and $A \to G(A)$, likely including Legendre transformations, would not lead to observe the point of inflection.

The critical exponents and scaling laws. The critical exponents characterize the phase transition near CP's. The latter are defined as in classical thermodynamics

$$Q - Q_c \sim |A - A_c|^{\delta} \qquad (\text{for } \Delta T = 0), \qquad (35)$$
$$C_Q \sim |Q - Q_c|^{-\bar{\phi}} \qquad (\text{for } \Delta T = 0), \qquad (36)$$
$$S - S_c \sim |Q - Q_c|^{\psi} \qquad (\text{for } \Delta T = 0), \qquad (37)$$

$$A - A_c \sim |T - T_c|^{\beta} \qquad (\text{for } \Delta Q = 0), \qquad (38)$$
$$C_Q \sim |T - T_c|^{-\alpha} \qquad (\text{for } \Delta Q = 0), \qquad (39)$$
$$\kappa_T \sim |T - T_c|^{\gamma'} \qquad (\text{for } \Delta Q = 0), \qquad (40)$$

where $\kappa_T \equiv (\partial Q/\partial A)_T/Q = F'(A)/F(A)$ is the factor of isothermal charge. In black hole thermodynamics, it has become custom to interchange the roles of extensive and intensive variables. In some references one chooses $\kappa_T \propto (\partial A/\partial Q)_T$ [22] [and $\kappa_T \propto (\partial\Omega/\partial J)_T$ for rotating black holes [7, 27]] but one inserts a minus sign in front of γ' in (40). Thus, whatever definition we give to κ, the value of γ' will be the same. The reason why some authors work with $\kappa_T \propto (\partial A/\partial Q)_T$ is that $(\partial A/\partial Q)_T$ diverges at criticality for a number of black hole solutions. This is going to be the case for some EMD black holes but not for all of them. Sticking to the definitions of classical thermodynamics, as some authors did [13, 23, 24], we have opted for the definition $\kappa_T \equiv (\partial Q/\partial A)_T/Q$ for convenience.

To ease the evaluation of the critical exponents, it is worth noting that the above-defined expressions that are valid for $\Delta T = 0$ (respectively $\Delta Q = 0$) can be combined together. For instance, combining (38) and (40) we obtain

$$\kappa_T \sim |A - A_c|^{\gamma'/\beta} \quad (\text{for } \Delta Q = 0). \qquad (41)$$

By (18) and(32) we have in the vicinity of the CP

$$Q_c(T - T_c) + T_c(Q - Q_c) \sim [F''(A_c)/2](A - A_c)^2. \quad (42)$$

If $\Delta T \equiv T - T_c = 0$ (respectively $\Delta Q \equiv Q - Q_c = 0$), this reduces to (35) [respectively to (38)] with $\delta = 2$ (respectively $\beta = 1/2$).

For $\Delta T = 0$, differentiating (42) with respect to A we obtain

$$\kappa_T \sim |A - A_c| \quad (\text{for } \Delta T = 0). \qquad (43)$$

Now, since $\kappa_T = F'(A)/F(A)$ depends only on A, the series expansions in the r.h.s's of (41) and (43) must be identical leading to $\gamma' = \beta = 1/2$.

[2] Rigourously speaking, the hyperbola (31) lies in the plane $A = A_c$ parallel to the T-Q plane of the phase space (A, Q, T).

Combining (35) and (36), from the one hand, and (38) and (39), from the other hand, we obtain, respectively

$$C_Q \sim |A - A_c|^{-\delta\bar{\phi}} \quad (\text{for } \Delta T = 0), \tag{44}$$

$$C_Q \sim |A - A_c|^{-\alpha/\beta} \quad (\text{for } \Delta Q = 0). \tag{45}$$

With that said, the expression (19) of C_Q is easily brought to the form

$$C_Q = Q^2 \, \frac{\mathcal{C}(A)}{A - A_c} \tag{46}$$

where $\mathcal{C}(A)$ is regular (finite) and nonzero at A_c. Thus if $\Delta Q = 0$, $C_Q \sim |A - A_c|^{-1}$ yielding, on comparing with (45), $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$. If $\Delta T = 0$, we have using (42)

$$Q \sim Q_c + [F''(A_c)/(2T_c)](A - A_c)^2 \quad (\text{for } \Delta T = 0) \tag{47}$$

which along with (46) lead again to $C_Q \sim |A - A_c|^{-1}$. Using (44) we are finally led to $\bar{\phi} = 1/\delta = 1/2$.

Combining (35) and (37) to obtain

$$S - S_c \sim |A - A_c|^{\delta\psi} \quad (\text{for } \Delta T = 0). \tag{48}$$

It is straightforward to bring the expression (11) of S to

$$S = Q^2 \mathcal{S}(A^2) \tag{49}$$

where $\mathcal{S}(A^2)$ is regular (finite) at A_c. Now, for $\Delta T = 0$ the expression of Q, given by (47), and that of $\mathcal{S}$ being both regular at A_c, the Taylor series of S at A_c yields $S - S_c \sim |A - A_c|$, which is the same as (48) with $\psi = 1/\delta = 1/2$.

The six critical exponents are tabulated in Table I. They satisfy the following thermodynamic scaling laws

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma' = 2, \ \alpha + \beta(\delta + 1) = 2 \\ &(2 - \alpha)(\delta\psi - 1) + 1 = (1 - \alpha)\delta \\ &\gamma'(\delta + 1) = (2 - \alpha)(\delta - 1) \\ &\gamma' = \beta(\delta - 1), \ \bar{\phi} + 2\psi - \delta^{-1} = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

TABLE I: Critical exponents corresponding to the CP (A_c, Q_c, T_c) given by Eqs. (21) and (31).

Symbol	α	β	γ'	δ	$\bar{\phi}$	ψ
Value	1/2	1/2	1/2	2	1/2	1/2

On the continuous phase transition. Consider Fig. 3 (a). The region $A > A_{\rm ext}$ being excluded, we see that any horizontal line $Q = \text{const} < Q_c$ intersect the graph $Q(A)$ at two points, one of which lies on the unstable branch of the graph ($A < A_c$) and the other one lies on the stable branch ($A > A_c$). This shows the coexistence of the two (unstable and stable) phases for $Q < Q_c$; for $Q > Q_c$ there is no black hole. This latter statement characterizes the phantom EM-antiD cosh and sinh black holes as well as the phantom Eanti-MD cosh holes[3], in that, both unstable and stable phases either coexist or both do not exist. There is no configuration where only one phase exists. This is entirely different from what one has learnt in classical thermodynamics. To our knowledge there is no similar situation for other black holes.

The statement does not imply no coexistence for $Q > Q_c$. The product $T_c Q_c$ being fixed by (31), if we replot Fig. 3 (a) for a value of the temperature $T_{c\,\rm new}$ less than the selected value in Fig. 3 (a) keeping the same parameters (γ, η_1, η_2), the new value of the critical charge $Q_{c\,\rm new}$ will exceed the old one and the crest in that figure rises, yielding coexistence for $Q_{c\,\rm new} > Q > Q_c$. This is to say there is no true critical charge beyond which no coexistence occurs. This also distinguishes this type of phase transitions from ordinary ones [24, 30].

Fig. 3 (b,c) reveals the same coexistence state in the T-S diagram where any horizontal line $T = \text{const} < T_c$ intersects the curve $T(S)$ at two points, one of which on the lower stable branch and the other one on the upper unstable branch. Here again we emphasize the special character of this transition by noticing that any reduction in the selected value Q_c in Fig. 3 (b,c) would yield an increase in the value of T_c, which would imply observation of coexistence at higher temperatures too.

A first conclusion we can draw from the above remarks is that stable and unstable heavily charged EManti-D cosh and sinh black holes and Eanti-MD cosh ones may undergo phase transitions at low temperatures only. As temperature rises, the two phases disappear along with the black hole. Stable and unstable near-neutral ($Q \to 0$) EManti-D black holes may coexist at almost all temperatures and thus their phase transitions may occur at almost any temperature.

EManti-D and Eanti-MD black hole phase transitions being continuous and special they do however still fulfil the requirements of classical-thermodynamics phase transitions. Eqs (29) and Fig. 4 (a) show that the temperature has its local extreme values at the transition point (the CP), as is the case in classical thermodynamics [32] and in other black hole solutions [13, 30]. For canonical ensembles, with fixed charge, the relevant thermodynamic potential is the free energy $F_{\rm e}$ depicted in Fig. 4 (by analogy with classical thermodynamics, the free energy is the relevant thermodynamic potential for fixed-pressure ensembles). The free energy reaches its minimum value at the transition point as prescribed by classical thermodynamics. Fig. 4 (b) is a parametric plot of $F_{\rm e}(T)$ at constant $Q = Q_c$. The transition occurs at the lowest point of the $F_{\rm e}(T)$ graph as one moves from the unstable upper branch to the stable lower one. A vertical line $T = \text{const} < T_c$ intersects the two branches of

[3] Recall that the phantom cosh solution of Eanti-MD [Eq. (23)] has a plot $Q(A)$ (not shown in Fig. 3 (a)) similar to that of the cosh solution of EManti-D [Eq. (22)]

the $F_e(T)$ graph indicating again coexistence of unstable-stable phases.

B. sinh black holes: The extremality CP $(A_{\rm ext}, Q_{\rm ext}, T_{\rm ext})$

Besides the first order linear nonextremality CP (A_c, Q_c, T_c) given by Eqs. (21) and (31), the sinh black holes have the extremality CP $(A_{\rm ext}, Q_{\rm ext}, T_{\rm ext} \equiv 0)$ given by Eqs. (26) and (27) where $Q_{\rm ext}$ and $r_{\rm ext}$ remain arbitrary in that they are not determinable in terms of the parameters of the problem (γ, η_1, η_2). In the mini plot of Fig. 3 (a), we have taken $T = T_c \neq 0$; however, if we let $T_c \to T_{\rm ext} = 0$, the crest moves to infinity and the curve splits into the two vertical lines $A = 0$ and $A = A_{\rm ext}$, which are solutions of $F(A) = 0$. On either line, the charge is undefined.

The set of CP's $(A_{\rm ext}, Q_{\rm ext}, T_{\rm ext})$ make up the semi-line $A = \sqrt{(1+\gamma)/2}$ and $Q > 0$ in the plane $T = 0$ of the phase space (A, Q, T).

In terms of the mass M of the hole we have

$$\frac{2M}{1+\gamma} = r_{\rm ext} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1+\gamma}}\, Q_{\rm ext} = r_-$$
$$2M^2 = (1+\gamma){Q_{\rm ext}}^2.$$

In an ensemble where M is held constant, $Q_{\rm ext}$ is fixed by the previous formula and the set of CP's reduces to a point. If M fluctuates, then the CP's make up a segment of the above-mentioned semi-line bounded by two limiting values of Q.

As mentioned earlier in this work, we won't consider the case of extreme black holes since these are not stable and they may have nonthermal spectrum or ill-defined temperature [50, 51]. Rather, we consider the case of nonextremal sinh black hole approaching the extremality state defined by the CP $(A_{\rm ext}, Q_{\rm ext}, T_{\rm ext})$. In this approach, thermodynamics is well defined and the spectrum of Hawking radiation is thermal.

The critical exponents and scaling laws. The evaluation of the critical exponents is carried out in absolutely the same way as that done in the previous section [Eqs. (35)-(49)]. All one needs is to re-write the expressions of F, C_Q, and S in a way to factor out $(A_{\rm ext} - A)$

$$F(A) = \mathcal{F}(A)(A_{\rm ext} - A)^\gamma \qquad (\gamma \geq 2) \qquad (51)$$

$$C_Q = Q^2\, \frac{\bar{\mathcal{C}}(A)}{(A_{\rm ext} - A)^{\gamma-1}} \qquad (\gamma \geq 2) \qquad (52)$$

$$S = Q^2\, \frac{\bar{\mathcal{S}}(A)}{(A_{\rm ext} - A)^{\gamma-1}} \qquad (\gamma \geq 2) \qquad (53)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(A_{\rm ext}) \neq 0$, $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(A_{\rm ext}) \neq 0$, and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(A_{\rm ext}) \neq 0$. We define $\Delta A \equiv A - A_{\rm ext} < 0$, $\Delta Q \equiv Q - Q_{\rm ext} < 0$, and $\Delta T \equiv T - T_{\rm ext} = T > 0$. The definitions of the critical exponents are given in Eqs. (35)-(40) on replacing 'c' by 'ext' and Eq. (37) by

$$S \sim |Q - Q_{\rm ext}|^\psi \quad (\text{for } \Delta T = 0) \qquad (54)$$

since $S_{\rm ext} = \infty$.

Using (51), Eq. (42) reads in the vicinity of the CP as

$$Q\Delta T + T\Delta Q \sim (\Delta A)^\gamma, \qquad (55)$$

where Q and T are any values closer to $Q_{\rm ext}$ and $T_{\rm ext} = 0$, respectively. In the limit $\Delta Q \to 0^-$, Eq. (55) reduces to (38) with $\beta = 1/\gamma$. Similarly, in the limit $\Delta T \to 0^+$ (but $T \neq 0$), Eq. (55) reduces to (35) with $\delta = \gamma$. With F given by (51), $\kappa_T = F'(A)/F(A) \sim |\Delta A|^{-1}$, which is the same as (41) with $\gamma' = -\beta = -1/\gamma$.

Using the expressions (44), (45), and (48) (which reads $S \sim |A - A_c|^{\delta\psi}$) along with (52) and (53) we evaluate the remaining critical exponents by: $\alpha = \beta(\gamma - 1) = (\gamma - 1)/\gamma$, $\bar{\phi} = (\gamma - 1)/\delta = (\gamma - 1)/\gamma$, and $\psi = (1 - \gamma)/\delta = (1-\gamma)/\gamma$. The six critical exponents are tabulated in Table II. They satisfy the following thermodynamic scaling laws

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma' + 1 = 2,\ \alpha + \beta(\delta+1) = 2\\
&(2-\alpha)[\delta(\psi+1) - 1] + 1 = (1-\alpha)\delta\\
&(\gamma'+1)(\delta+1) = (2-\alpha)(\delta-1)\\
&\gamma' + 1 = \beta(\delta-1),\ \bar{\phi} + 2(\psi+1) - \delta^{-1} = 1
\end{aligned} \qquad (56)$$

which are the same as (50) provided we transform γ' and ψ by $\gamma' \to \gamma' + 1$ and $\psi \to \psi + 1$.

TABLE II: Critical exponents corresponding to the CP $(A_{\rm ext}, Q_{\rm ext}, T_{\rm ext})$ given by Eqs. (26) and (27).

Symbol	α	β	γ'	δ	$\bar{\phi}$	ψ
Value	$(\gamma-1)/\gamma$	$1/\gamma$	$-1/\gamma$	γ	$(\gamma-1)/\gamma$	$(1-\gamma)/\gamma$

On the phase transition. In Fig. 2 (a), where Q is held constant, the black hole region corresponds to $A < A_{\rm ext}$. If we start from the left of $A_{\rm ext}$ (near extremality) and we decrease the value of A, the entropy [Eq. (53)] decreases from infinity to a finite value. This corresponds to a transition from a disordered state to a more ordered one with lower value of the entropy. Such a transition of the symmetry is accompanied by a loss of mass[4] and an increase in the temperature, as shown in Fig. 2 (a), as well as an increase in the radius of the horizon (since $Q = r_+ A$ is held constant). With Q held constant, any transition from extremality to nonextremality will cause emission of energy till the mass reaches its minimum value at the point where the heat capacity vanishes [see (19), (29)]. The nonextremal black hole thus formed will remain in an unstable or metastable state till C_Q becomes positive where M starts increasing. This is totally different from the case of Reissner-Nordström black hole

[4] The loss of mass is justified analytically as follows. At criticality $A = A_{\rm ext}$ where $A_{\rm ext}$ is given by (27). Using this latter equation in (63), or (26) in the first Eq. (29), yields $(\partial A/\partial M)_Q = (1+\gamma)/[Q_{\rm ext}(\gamma-1)] > 0$ at criticality $(\gamma \geq 2)$.

where such a transition from extremality to nonextremality at constant Q results in an increase in the mass, size of the hole, and temperature. The decrease in the entropy of the hole will be accompanied by an increase of the entropy of the system (hole plus environment).

Such a transition may take place if the near extremal black hole is isolated from its heat bath or environment, that is, if the hole is in a microcanonical state or in contact with the bath, in which case the hole is in a canonical ensemble. However, to reach the stable state, where M increases with decreasing A and $C_Q > 0$, it is necessary to have the hole in a canonical state. Hence, if the charge of a sinh black hole of EManti-D, in a microcanonical ensemble near extremality, is held constant, the hole will evolve by emission of energy, which results in a decrease of its mass, to the final state of minimum mass and vanishing heat capacity.

This transition cannot be classified second order according to Ehrenfest scheme since the derivative of order one of the free energy, that is the entropy, diverges at the extremality CP. This expresses inaccuracy in Ehrenfest scheme. From this point of view, Hilfer developed a generalized scheme where the order of transition can be any positive real number [4, 5]. He argued that classical thermodynamics does not exclude the cases where the entropy diverges, as is the case at the extremality CP. It is clear that if the entropy diverges, the next derivatives of the free energy also diverge. According to Hilfer scheme such a transition is of order less than unity: $p < 1$.

According to the definition given in the previous section, we now proceed to the classification of the CP $(A_{\rm ext}, Q_{\rm ext}, T_{\rm ext})$. The nth order derivative of Q with respect to A at constant T, which is proportional to the nth order derivative of F with respect to A, is not defined at criticality, so no classification scheme works. However, near criticality ($T \to T_{\rm ext} = 0$) the derivative reads

$$T\Big(\frac{\partial^n Q}{\partial A^n}\Big)_T = (1+\gamma-2A^2)^{\gamma-n} P(A^2,\gamma)$$

where P is a polynomial in A^2 and γ. Since $1+\gamma-2{A_{\rm ext}}^2 = 0$, one sees that all the derivatives up to order n vanish at criticality if $n < \gamma \leq n+1$. Thus, generically, the CP is an $n^{\rm th}$ order linear one if $n < \gamma \leq n+1$. For specific values of γ satisfying $P({A_{\rm ext}}^2, \gamma) = 0$, the order of the CP may be higher than n.

VI. THERMODYNAMIC FLUCTUATIONS

As is well known [2], there exist strong relationships between fluctuations of extensive thermodynamic entities and generalized heat capacities. Moreover, in both classical thermodynamics and black hole one, fluctuations and heat capacities diverge as criticality is approached. This shows that the study of thermodynamic fluctuations of a physical system is intimately related to its stability and phase transitions.

Fluctuations of extensive thermodynamic parameters depend on how the system is perceived thermodynamically. This is because the evaluation of the fluctuation for a fluctuating extensive parameter is tied to the form of the probability distribution for that parameter, which is itself related to the ensemble in which the system makes part [2, 58, 59].

Different terminologies have been used to name the thermodynamic parameters: entropic intensive parameters in [2] for intrinsic variables in [58, 59], and extensive parameters in [2] for conjugate variables in [58, 59]. But the formula for the second central moments, derived in [2, 58, 59], is just the same (compare Eq. (19.14) of [2] with Eqs. (10) and (19) of [58]). The reason for this different terminology is what we mentioned earlier, in black hole thermodynamics, it has become custom to interchange the roles of extensive and intensive variables. So, the terminology employed in [58, 59] is more appropriate for black hole thermodynamics and likely for other fields of thermodynamics [60–62].

If the system is envisaged in some thermodynamical ensemble (by ensemble we mean the set of constraints on the thermodynamic variables) and if Ψ is the corresponding Massieu function [2], then the second central moments are equal to the second order derivatives of Ψ (or to the first derivative of the conjugate variables) with respect to the intrinsic variables [58].

In the following we will consider separably the cases of the CP's (A_c, Q_c, T_c), which exists for both cosh and sinh solutions, and $(A_{\rm ext}, Q_{\rm ext}, T_{\rm ext})$, which exists for sinh solutions only. We will be mostly interested in the moment of the mass fluctuation and some relative root mean square dispersions.

A. cosh and sinh black holes: The nonextremality CP (A_c, Q_c, T_c)

Since $T_c \neq 0$, we assume that the black hole is in contact with a heat bath with which it exchanges heat only. The appropriate Massieu function is $\Psi = -\beta_T F_{\rm e} = S - \beta_T M$ where $\beta_T = 1/T$ and Q are the intrinsic variables. The conjugate variables are $\{-M, -\eta_2\beta_T A\}$ since

$$\mathrm{d}\Psi = -M\mathrm{d}\beta_T - \eta_2\beta_T A\mathrm{d}Q \tag{57}$$

where we have used (16). The moment of the mass fluctuation is given by:

$$\langle(\delta M)^2\rangle = \Big(\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial{\beta_T}^2}\Big)_Q = \Big(\frac{\partial(-M)}{\partial\beta_T}\Big)_Q = T^2 C_Q \tag{58}$$

where $C_Q = (\partial M/\partial T)_Q$ has been used.

At criticality, the temperature T of the heat bath approaches $T_c \neq 0$ and C_Q approaches infinity as $|T-T_c|^{-\alpha} = |T-T_c|^{-1/2}$ [see Eq. (39) and Table I]. As far as $T_c \neq 0$, we see that near criticality, the black hole may absorb from, or may release to, the heat bath (the

Hawking bath) a huge amount of energy. If this happens, the thermodynamic description near criticality will breakdown. Such behavior has been noticed for Reissner-Nordström anti-de Sitter black holes too [22] and, to our knowledge, is common to all black holes.

The behavior near criticality has been described in [58] as a mere change in stability, and not a phase transition. For instance, the black hole may completely evaporate if it releases all of its mass to the bath.

B. sinh black holes: The extremality CP $(A_{\mathbf{ext}}, Q_{\mathbf{ext}}, T_{\mathbf{ext}})$

Consider again a canonical ensemble. With $T_{\rm ext} = 0$ and $\alpha = (\gamma-1)/\gamma$ (Table II), we have $\langle(\delta M)^2\rangle \sim T^{(1+\gamma)/\gamma}$ as $T \to 0$ ($\gamma \geq 2$). If it were possible to bring the temperature of the heat bath as close as possible to absolute zero, then the fluctuations in the mass would remain finite and vanish as $T \to 0$.

If the state of a heat bath with $T = 0$ is not attainable by the third law of thermodynamics, then it is not possible to envisage these black holes in a canonical ensemble with $T = 0$ held constant. Let us thus envisage the black hole in a microcanonical ensemble, that it, the hole is totally isolated from any environment. The appropriate Massieu function is its entropy $\Psi = S$. Using (16) we have

$$\mathrm{d}\Psi = \beta_T \mathrm{d}M - \eta_2 \beta_T A \mathrm{d}Q, \quad (\eta_2 = 1) \tag{59}$$

so $\{M, Q\}$ and $\{\beta_T, -\eta_2\beta_T A\}$ are the intrinsic and conjugate variables, respectively. The moment in the fluctuation in the parameter β_T is inversely proportional to that of the mass fluctuation: $\langle(\delta\beta_T)^2\rangle = (\partial\beta_T/\partial M)_Q \sim T^{-(1+\gamma)/\gamma}$, which diverges as $T \to 0$. Since β_T also diverges as $T \to 0$, it would be more appropriate to evaluate the relative root mean square dispersion: $\sqrt{\langle(\delta\beta_T)^2\rangle}/\beta_T \sim T^{(\gamma-1)/(2\gamma)}$, which goes to zero as $T \to 0$ ($\gamma \geq 2$) and there is no breakdown in thermodynamics.

We reach the same conclusion on calculating $\sqrt{\langle[\delta(\beta_T A)]^2\rangle}/(\beta_T A)$. $\langle[\delta(\beta_T A)]^2\rangle$ is evaluated by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle[\delta(\beta_T A)]^2\rangle &= -\Big(\frac{\partial(\beta_T A)}{\partial Q}\Big)_M \\ &= -A\Big(\frac{\partial\beta_T}{\partial Q}\Big)_M - \beta_T\Big(\frac{\partial A}{\partial Q}\Big)_M. \end{aligned}\tag{60}$$

The Maxwell relation derived from (59) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{\partial\beta_T}{\partial Q}\Big)_M &= -\Big(\frac{\partial(\beta_T A)}{\partial M}\Big)_Q \\ &= \frac{A}{T^2 C_Q} - \beta_T\Big(\frac{\partial A}{\partial M}\Big)_Q. \end{aligned}\tag{61}$$

To evaluate the two terms $(\partial A/\partial Q)_M$ in (60) and $(\partial A/\partial M)_Q$ in (61) we insert $r_+ = Q/A$ [Eq. (13)] in (10). These two terms are given by [$(\partial A/\partial M)_Q$ has been evaluated in (29)]

$$\Big(\frac{\partial A}{\partial Q}\Big)_M = -\frac{A}{Q}\,\frac{2\gamma A^2 + 1 + \gamma}{2\gamma A^2 - 1 - \gamma} \tag{62}$$

$$\Big(\frac{\partial A}{\partial M}\Big)_Q = \frac{2A^2(1+\gamma)}{Q(2\gamma A^2 - 1 - \gamma)}, \tag{63}$$

which remain finite at criticality [Eq. (27)] but $\langle[\delta(\beta_T A)]^2\rangle$ diverges as $T^{-(1+\gamma)/\gamma}$. It is now straightforward to see that $\langle[\delta(\beta_T A)]^2\rangle/(\beta_T A)^2$ converges as $T \to 0$ ($\gamma \geq 2$).

VII. CONCLUSION

As we have seen the heat capacity of fixed-charge ensembles of EMD black holes may have both signs depending on the parameters of the theory. Leaving aside the normal Reissner-Nordström black hole, we have shown that, among the other black holes of EMD theory, only the subclasses EManti-D and Eanti-MD of the cosh solutions and the subclass EManti-D of the sinh solutions exhibit critical phenomena if further the parameters (γ, η_1, η_2) obey well defined equalities and inequalities.

These subclasses of black holes have a common nonextremality CP where the classical-thermodynamics scaling laws are preserved. This is however a first order linear CP, in that, a Q-A diagram at constant T does not show any point of inflection, leading to observe either coexistence of unstable and stable phases, below some 'variable' critical charge, or absence of the two phases above it. As a consequence, heavily charged phantom black holes, of the above-mentioned subclasses, may undergo phase transitions at low temperatures only. In contrast, their counterpart near-neutral black holes may undergo phase transitions at almost any temperature.

The phase transitions at the nonextremality CP are classified continuous or second order by all existing classification schemes.

An extremality CP exists for the EManti-D sinh black holes where the scaling laws are satisfied provided two of the critical exponents are shifted by 1. This is a generically an $n^{\rm th}$ order linear CP if $n < \gamma \leq n+1$ at which the extremality to nonextremality phase transition is of order less than unity according to Hilfer's generalized classification scheme. If the charge is held constant, the mass and entropy decrease while the temperature and radius of the horizon increase during the first metastable phase of this transition which ends as the mass reaches its minimum value. The transition may proceed to the more stable state with positive heat capacity and increasing mass if the black hole is not isolated; otherwise, the hole remains in the state of lower mass and vanishing heat capacity if isolated.

At the nonextremality CP, the fluctuations diverge if canonical ensembles are envisaged, which signals a breakdown of thermodynamics as criticality is approached. At

the extremality CP, no breakdown of the laws has been noticed in both canonical and microcanonical ensembles, the only assumption we have made is that low temperatures are attainable in black hole thermodynamics.

[1] A. Ghosh, *Scaling Laws* in *Mechanics Over Micro and Nano Scales*, S. Chakraborty Ed. (Springer New York, 2011).
[2] H.B. Callen, *Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics* (Wiley, Singapore, 1985).
[3] G. Jaeger, Arch. Hist. Exact Sci. **53** (1) 51-81 (1998).
[4] R. Hilfer, Mod. Phys. Lett. B **6** (13) 773-784 (1992).
[5] R. Hilfer, Phys. Rev. E **48** (4) 2466-2475 (1993).
[6] E.J. Son and W. Kim, JHEP **03** 060 (2013), arXiv:1212.2307 [gr-qc].
[7] M.B.J. Poshteh, B. Mirza, Z. Sherkatghanad, Phys. Rev. D **88** 024005 (2013), arXiv:1306.4516 [gr-qc].
[8] J.X. Mo and W.-B. Liu, arXiv:1312.0679 [hep-th].
[9] S. Choudhury and S. Sengupta, arXiv:1306.0492 [hep-th].
[10] A. Lala, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2013** 918490 (2013), arXiv:1205.6121 [gr-qc].
[11] B.R. Majhi and D. Roychowdhury, Class. Quantum Grav. **29** 245012 (2012), arXiv:1205.0146 [gr-qc].
[12] R. Banerjee and D. Roychowdhury, Phys. Rev. D **85** 104043 (2012), arXiv:1203.0118 [gr-qc].
[13] R. Banerjee and D. Roychowdhury, Phys. Rev. D **85** 044040 (2012), arXiv:1111.0147 [gr-qc].
[14] Y.H. Wei, Phys. Rev. D **80** 024029 (2009).
[15] R.G. Cai and K.S. Soh, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **14** 1895 (1999), hep-th/9812121.
[16] R.-G. Cai and Y.S. Myung, Nucl. Phys. B **495** 339 (1997), hep-th/9702159.
[17] R.G. Cai, Z.J. Lu and Y.Z. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **55** 853 (1997), gr-qc/9702032.
[18] C.O. Lousto, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **6** 575 (1997), gr-qc/9601006.
[19] J.P. Muniain and D.D. Piriz, Phys. Rev. D **53** 816 (1996), gr-qc/9502029.
[20] C.O. Lousto, Gen. Rel. Grav. **27** 121 (1995).
[21] C.O. Lousto, Phys. Rev. D **51** 1733 (1995), gr-qc/9405048.
[22] X.N. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **62** 124023 (2000).
[23] R. Banerjee, S.K. Modak, and S. Samanta, Eur. Phys. J. C **70** 317 (2010), arXiv:1002.0466 [hep-th].
[24] A. Sahay, T. Sarkar, and G. Sengupta, JHEP **07** 082 (2010), arXiv:1004.1625 [hep-th].
[25] R. Zhao, H.-H. Zhao, M.-S. Ma, and L.-C. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. C **73** 2645 (2014), arXiv:1305.3725 [gr-qc].
[26] S.-W. Wei snd Y.-X. Liu, Phys. Rev. D **87** 044014 (2013), arXiv:1209.1707 [gr-qc].
[27] M.-S. Ma, F. Liu, R. Zhao, arXiv:1403.0449 [gr-qc].
[28] M. Cvetič and S.S. Gubser, JHEP **04** 024 (1999), arXiv:9902195 [hep-th].
[29] M. Cvetič and S.S. Gubser, JHEP **07** 010 (1999), arXiv:9903132 [hep-th].
[30] J-X. Mo, X-X. Zeng, G-Q. Li, X. Jiang, and W-B. Liu, JHEP **10** 056 (2013), arXiv:1404.2497 [gr-qc].
[31] C. Niu, Y. Tian, and X. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **85** 024017 (2012), arXiv:1104.3066 [hep-th].
[32] H.E. Stanley, *Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phemonena* (Oxford University Press, NY, 1987).
[33] O. Aharony, S.S. Gubser, J.M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, Phys. Rept. **323** 183 (2000), arXiv:9905111 [hep-th].
[34] E. Papantonopoulos (Ed.), *From Gravity to Thermal Gauge Theories: The AdS/CFT Correspondence*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 828, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2011).
[35] S.S. Gubser, Phys. Rev. D **78** 065034 (2008), arXiv:0801.2977 [hep-th].
[36] S.A. Hartnoll, C.P. Herzog, and G.T. Horowitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** 031601 (2008), arXiv:0803.3295 [hep-th].
[37] T. Morita, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **343** 012079 (2012).
[38] R.G. Cai, R.Q. Yang, arXiv:1404.2856 [hep-th].
[39] E. Komatsu et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. **192** 18 (2011), arXiv:1001.4538[astro-ph];
S. Perlmutter et al., Ap. J. **517** 565 (1999);
A. G. Riess et al., Ap. J. **560** 49 (2001);
J. P. Blakeslee et al., Ap. J. **589** 693 (2003), arXiv:0302402[astro-ph].
[40] S. M. Carroll, M. Hoffman and M. Trodden, Phys. Rev. D **68** 023509 (2003), arXiv:0301273[astro-ph];
P. Singh, M. Sami and N. Dadhich, Phys. Rev. D **68** 023522 (2003), arXiv:0305110[hep-th].
[41] S. Hannestad, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** 1938 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0509320.
[42] J. Dunkley et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **180** 306 (2009).
[43] G.W. Gibbons and D.A. Rasheed, Nucl. Phys. **B476** 515 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9604177.
[44] C.J. Gao and S. N. Zhang, arXiv:hep-th/0604114.
[45] G. Clément, J.C. Fabris and M.E. Rodrigues, Phys. Rev. D **79** 064021 (2009), arXiv:0901.4543 [hep-th].
[46] M. Azreg-Aïnou, G. Clément, J.C. Fabris and M.E. Rodrigues, Phys. Rev. D **83** 124001 (2011), arXiv:1102.4093 [hep-th].
[47] M.E. Rodrigues and Z.A.A. Oporto, Phys. Rev. D **85** 104022 (2012), arXiv:1201.5337 [gr-qc]
[48] M. Azreg-Aïnou, Phys. Rev. D **87** 024012 (2013), arXiv:1209.5232 [gr-qc].
[49] G.N. Gyulchev, I.Zh. Stefanov, Phys. Rev. D **87** 063005 (2013), arXiv:1211.3458 [gr-qc].
[50] S. Liberati, T. Rothman, and S. Sonego, Phys. Rev. D **62** 024005 (2000), arXiv:0002019 [gr-qc].
[51] F.G. Alvarenga, A.B. Batista, J.C. Fabris, and G.T. Marques, Phys. Lett. A **320** 83 (2003), arXiv:0306030 [gr-qc].
[52] R.M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D **48** 3427 (1993), arXiv:9307038 [gr-qc].
[53] T. Jacobson, G. Kang, and R.C. Myers, Phys. Rev. D **49** 6587 (1994), arXiv:9312023 [gr-qc].
[54] V. Iyer and R.M. Wald, 1994 Phys. Rev. D **50** 846 (1994), arXiv:9403028 [gr-qc].
[55] A. Ashtekar, A. Corichi, and D. Sudarsky, Class. Quantum Grav. **20** 3413 (2003), arXiv:0305044 [gr-qc].
[56] E. Winstanley, arXiv:0408046 [gr-qc].
[57] A.-M. Barlow, D. Doherty, and E. Winstanley, Phys. Rev. D **72** 024008 (2005), arXiv:0504087 [gr-qc].
[58] O. Kaburaki, Phys. Lett. A **185** 21 (1994).

[59] O. Kaburaki, Gen. Rel. Grav. **28** 843 (1996).
[60] G.T. Houlsby and A.M. Puzrin, J. Rheol. **46** 113 (2002).
[61] M.A. Biot, J. Appl. Phys. **25** 1385 (1954).
[62] R.A. Schapery, J. Appl. Phys. **35** 1451 (1964).